

1 — INTRODUCTION

• Can one *mechanise* intelligence ?

Leibniz : *ratiocinator.*

Hilbert : reductionist foundations.

AI : a prothesis for the *true believers*.

- Success w.r.t. *rational*, predictable, activity : Artificial instinct : vision, motion, etc.
- Absolute failure w.r.t. *irrational*, i.e., creative activity : Gödel' paradox : *incompleteness*. Turing : undecidability of the *halting* problem. Search does not work, only *verification*.

Tōkyō, 11 Février 2008

I — THE CRISIS OF FOUNDATIONS

2 — THE **XIX**th CENTURY

- Change of *size* w.r.t. XVIIIth century maths : Functions log, sin, exp replaced with « all functions. » 3-dimensional space replaced with *varieties*.
- Divergence w.r.t. intuition, emergence of *paradoxes :* Geometry : non Euclidian spaces.
 Curve without tangent (Weierstraß).
 Curve filling a surface (Peano).
- Doubts as to the *soundness* of mathematics : Topology : is a surface the same as a volume ? Consistency : are subdomains of maths compatible ?

3 — The XX^{th} century

- The myth of *triumphant science* (Jules Verne).
 1914-18 : yet another triumph of science.
 isms : various « scientific » approaches to politics.
- One can *solve* all questions (!?)
- Computers prompt a come back of *scientism :* H. Simon : Kepler law « rediscovered » by computer.
 Logics of transparency.
- *Fails* at both levels :

Philosophical : what is a good question ? Technical : doomed by *Gödel's paradox.*

4 — Set theory

- Cantor's work on *trigonometric series :* Exception sets : the origin of *set theory.*
- Turns into a *potential* unification of maths.
- Creation of the world in six days (Dedekind).

```
Cardinals : pure numbers N.
Algebra : relatives and rationals Z, Q.
Analysis : real and complex numbers R, C.
Geometry : vector spaces, varieties \mathbb{R}^3.
Banach spaces : \ell^1, \ell^2, \ell^\infty.
Operator algebras : C^*-algebras, von Neumann algebras.
```

5 — THE SEVENTH DAY

• Paradoxes of set theory :

Cantor ~ 1880 : \mathbb{R} not denumerable, yields : Russell (1902) : define $A := \{x; x \notin x\}$ $A \in A \iff A \notin A$.

- Russell's paradox is an *antinomy* (mortal).
- Need (or pretext) for ultimate foundations.
- Hilbert's program ~ 1925 : foundations of mathematics Rigourous thence Mathematical : the snake bites its own tail !

Tōkyō, 11 Février 2008

II — HILBERT'S PROGRAM

6 — A JIVARIST MATRIOSHKA

- Intelligence reduced to science ; exit poetry.
- Science reduced to mathematics ; exit physics.
- Mathematics reduced to language ; exit geometry.
- Bureaucracy as the paragon of intelligence.
 Compulsive maniac : checks fly wings.
 Formal logician : checks brackets.
- So extreme that it contains *its own demise :* Philosophy : « proving » a viewpoint, a controversial idea.
 Refutation of such a(n extreme) viewpoint thus possible.
 Irrefragability of Gödel's refutation.

7 — TRANSPARENCY

• Reduction of truth to *provability* or *consistency* :

A true \Leftrightarrow A provable.

A true \Leftrightarrow A consistent.

- Thence Hilbert's *consistency* program.
- Should yield transparency, the *underside* of reality ...
 Easy : look at the *list* of consistent questions !
 Legibility of the world, total and *immediate*.
 Password ideology : *decode* the apparences.
- Commonsense : the world is not transparent.
- A necessary thing, neither a *perversion of Man*, Nor an *ordeal of God*.

Tōkyō, 11 Février 2008

III — GOEDEL'S PARADOX

8 — THE 11^{th} HOUR WORKER

- In order to refute the program, one must partly *fulfill* it !
- Continuation of Dedekind : *encoding* the language.
 Chinese remainder theorem codes *sequences*.
 Gödel numbers : enumeration of linguistic artifacts.
- Russell's antinomy becomes « I am not provable. »
- 1st incompleteness theorem (1931) : Gödel formula true, but *not provable.*
- More bureaucracy yields 2nd incompleteness thm. (1931) :
 Consistent : system cannot prove its own consistency.
- An army which proves *its own innocence* is ...

9 — TRUE BELIEVIERS STRIKE BACK !

- Paradoxes destroy belief, thence should be *disqualified*.
- Make it a sort of *nonsense* (Gödel-Escher-Bach):
 Gödel numbers as a new Kabbalah.
 Scientism comes out of obfuscation.
- Fix it by making logic transparent : Para-consistent logics : pas vu pas pris. Rubber checks as paraconsistent economy.
- *Refute* it (the work of half-wits) :
 - **Inconsistency** follows from *refutation*; would thus **Reinforce** the theorem, hitherto the only *irrefragable* one !

10 — TURING'S COUP DE GRÂCE

- \sim 1936, Turing sets the foundations of *computers.*
- Incompleteness as *undecidability* of *halting* : Bus of Roma : does not arrive. No way to know it.
- Not to know \neq To know that not.
- Refutes the myth of *transparency* :
- Independently of *time/space* limitations : Questions need not have answers ...
 Not even incorrect ones.
- A close shave !

 $T\bar{o}ky\bar{o}$, 11 Février 2008

IV — MECHANISING INTELLIGENCE ?

11 — **S**UCCESSES AND LIMITS OF MECHANISATION

- By-product of encodings : *image processing*, etc.
- Efficient *verification*, e.g., word processing.
- Theorem « provers » can :

Assist the mathematician.

Verify , i.e., *formalise* proofs.

• Irrecoverable *failure* of :

Mechanistic foundations. Automatic provers : completely inefficient.

• For « feasible » questions, is *finding* the same as *checking* ?

 $P \stackrel{?}{=} NP$

12 — LOGIC AS FORMAL DUMBNESS

- Gödel, Turing : intelligence *cannot* be mechanised.
- In contrast with *repetition*, i.e., with :
 Logic activity : rational and dumb, checking brackets.
- What cannot be mechanised is *creativity :* Bureaucrats good at checking, limited thinkers.
- Creativity is a by-product of *irrationality* :

Prejudices : jealousy, anger, the seven sins and worse ! Mistakes consubstantial to intelligence.

Natural equilibrium : intelligent beings have *limited power !*

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Available at http://iml.univ - mrs.fr/girard/:

- Le statut paradoxal du paradoxe.
- Le fantôme de la transparence.
- The phantom of transparency. (KEIO, 12 Feb.)
- Le point aveugle, ch. 1-2 (livre).
- The blind spot, ch. 1-2 (book).
- Geometry of interaction V : logic in the hyperfinite factor. (KEIO, 13 Feb.)