

Oslo, 21 Mai 2015

PREDICATE
CALCULUS
AS A
LOGICALE
EPICICLIE

JEAN-YVES GIRARD

1 — EPICYCLES, A.K.A. THE REALIST PREJUDICE

- xxth century logic begins *after* incompleteness.
Herbrand: synthetic *a posteriori*, a.k.a. *usine*.
BHK: synthetic *a priori*, a.k.a. *usage*.
Gentzen: relation usine/usage through *cut-elimination*.
- XIXth century, up to ~ 1925 : axomatic and semantic.
Hilbert: *militarism* (axiomatics). *A priori* \rightsquigarrow consistency.
Russell: *religion* (of reality). Semantics, a.k.a. *prejudice*.
- **Realism:** cognitive simplicism, yields monsters.
Epicycles: fantasmatic reality backing *geocentric* prejudice.
- Realism expressed by *classical* reduction to *true/false*.
Loss of propositional expressivity.
Compensation: fantasmatic first-order individuals.
Symptom: no logical handling of *equality*.

Oslo, 21 Mai 2015

I — THE FOUR HORSEMEN OF COGNITION

	Analytic	Synthetic
Explicit	Constat	Usine
Implicit	Performance	Usage

2 — ANALYTICITY : CONSTAT VS. PERFORMANCE

- Cognition *without* presupposition: everything on the table.
Including table: finite (no etc.), no link to external « *reality* ».
Verbatim: the style of cowards, *meaningless*.
- Key ↵ either *constative*: adds new line, *incremental*. Or:
Performative: launches program, *destructive*.
- *Pure* lambda-calculus approximates analyticity.
Strong normalisation relates constat and performance.
Undecidability: performance not constative.
Church-Rosser relates performance and usage.
- *External* performance replaced with *self-performance*:
Plugging of wires of complementary colours.
Unification: makes wires split into implicit subwires.
Resolution: clause $\Gamma \vdash A$ becomes $\{\gamma, a\}$.

3 — SYNTHETICITY : USINE VS. USAGE

- Cognition *with* presupposition. Dubious *since* meaningful.
- *L'usine* a.k.a. synthetic *a posteriori*: factory tests.
Proof-nets: no vicious circle (already in Herbrand).
Testing: analytic performance; output unquestionable.
- *L'usage*, a.k.a. synthetic *a priori*: use of the product.
Gentzen: the cut-rule, deductive *since* destructive.
- Fundamental *duality* of meaning: *dinaturals*, hexagons.
Predictivity: *commitment* usine w.r.t. usage.
Cut-elimination: performance implementing the reduction.
Incompleteness: convergence of reduction problematic.
- *Consistency proofs*: no commitment. *Ditto* with *realism*:
Semantics: identification usine/usage: no testing.
Reformed BHK: one must choose between testing and use.

4 — DEREALISM

Oslo, 21 Mai 2015

- First order treatment of \mathbb{N} *axiomatic*, \neq logic.
Second order: (Dedekind) induction on T handled by $\exists X$.
Flexibility: range of (inductive) witnesses T in $A[T/X]$.
Subf. property: depends on possible T ; ditto for 1st order.
Foundational problems: reduction usage/usine problematic.
- *Church* and *Curry* both wrong w.r.t. l'usine:
Essentialism: objets born synthetic, *typed*. No usine.
Existentialism: objects born analytic, *untyped*. Usine ∞ .
- *Derealism:* usine stays finite if witness made part of proof.
Épure: analytic *vehicle* + synthetic *mould*, i.e., witness.
Epidictics: requires/believes moulds to be *balanced*.
Balance: rights/duties (cut-elim.) not checkable at usine.
- *Consistency* and Hegel's contradictory foundations:
Animæ: « *Incorrect* » proofs, mingle analytic/synthetic.

Oslo, 21 Mai 2015

II — PREDICATE CALCULUS

5 — A CONTROVERSIAL NOTION

- System \mathbb{F} (Oslo, 1970): propositions are (roughly) enough.
Forgetful functor: keeps computational (analytic) contents.
Realisability: awkward reduction predicate \rightsquigarrow proposition.
- *Predicate calculus:* XIXth century legacy.
Axiomatics: cannot avoid « *Barbari* » $\forall x A \vdash \exists x A$.
Semantics: models non-empty; but justification empty.
- Dubious principle: besides *proper* variables, used for $\vdash \forall$
Junk variables: dedicated to the sole *Barbari*.
- Intrusion of reality through *external* domain.
Variables, functions: proceed from the Sky.
- In contrast to propositional quantification:
Variables: refer to propositions, well-defined by l'usine.
Functions: refer to connectives.

6 — EQUALITY

- **Logical** primitive mistreated by metaphysical **axiomatics**:
E.g., a predicate: « function » individuals \rightsquigarrow propositions.
- And/or through **semantic** pleonasm:
BHK: empty, reduces proof of $t = u$ to semantics.
Semantics: $t = u$ true when **same** denotation: $|t| = |u|$.
- $\forall X (Xt \Rightarrow Xu)$ (Leibniz) interesting, **since** totally wrong.
2nd order: not expected at elementary level.
Circular: are those two « c » equal? Prejudiced:
Relevant properties: those compatible with... equality.
- A logical **epicycle**, i.e., a realistic contraption.
Individuals + predicates: **all** of those which are **relevant**.
- Break epicycle by replacing **individual** t with **proposition** t .
Meaning: « I am t ». Equality as logical equivalence $t \equiv u$.

Oslo, 21 Mai 2015

III — PREDICATES AS CONNECTIVES

7 — INDIVIDUALS AS MULTIPLICATIVES

- **Individuals = proposition** forbidden by prejudice:
 - Classical:** $t \equiv u \vee u \equiv v \vee v \equiv t$. Only two individuals.
 - Intuitionistic:** $\neg\neg(t \equiv u \vee u \equiv v \vee v \equiv t)$. Not more than 2.
 - Linear:** with $(t \multimap u) \& (u \multimap t)$ as equality. No obstacle.
- **n -ary multiplicative:** sets of partition of $\{1, \dots, n\}$.
 - Duality:** $\mathcal{C} \perp \mathcal{D}$ iff their incidence graph is a tree ($n \neq 0$).
 - Multiplicative:** non-trivial set of partitions equal to bidual.
 - Example:** $\otimes := \{\{1, 2\}\}$ vs. $\wp := \{\{1\}, \{2\}\}$.
 - Series/parallel:** $\uparrow := \{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}\} + \{\{2, 3\}, \{4, 1\}\}$.
 - Not sequential:** \uparrow admits proof-nets, no sequent calculus.
- **Linear** implication between multiplicatives:
 - Same n :** typically, $\cdot \otimes (\cdot \wp \cdot) \multimap (\cdot \otimes \cdot) \wp \cdot$ with $n = 3$.
 - # partitions:** decreases; equal in case of equivalence.
 - Equality:** equivalence yields two *isomorphisms*, not related.

8 — FUNCTIONS AND PREDICATES

- Functional *terms* come from same multiplicative matrix:
Positive multiplicatives with possible repetitions.
Example: $x \wp (x \otimes y)$. No constant, no *Barbari*, no regrets.
Pairing: ensured by $(x \wp y) \otimes (x \wp x \wp y)$.
- *Predicate* variables P, Q, \dots as variable *connectives*.
 Pt handled by unknown binary connective K .
Usage: all possible uses $Kt\tilde{t}$ of individual t and negation \tilde{t} .
Usine: enough to test with $K = \otimes$ and $K = \wp$.
Equality principle: $t = u \Rightarrow (Pt \multimap Pu)$ OK'ed by l'usine.
Refused: $t = u \Rightarrow (Pt \multimap Qu)$ and $t = u \multimap (Pt \multimap Pu)$.
- *Equality* handled by: $(\tilde{t} \wp u) \& (t \wp \tilde{u})$.
- First-order quantification: restriction of « full » case.
Existential witnesses: taken among multiplicative terms.

9 — DISCUSSION

- Logic is second order, including so-called first-order:
 - Propositions:** variables, implicit $\forall X$ performed after.
 - Usage:** externalised by counter-models ($\exists X$ forbidden).
 - No testing:** dubious advantage of externalisation.
- Individuals: *tame* second order.
 - Witnesses:** multiplicatives, limited loss of subformula pty.
 - Balance:** rights/duties, usine/usage not really problematic.
- *Arithmetic:* all axioms removed but:
 - Third/fourth Peano axioms:** $Sx \neq 0$ and $Sx = Sy \Rightarrow x = y$.
- The origin of logical doubt (incompleteness, etc.):
 - Épure vs. gabarit:** performance $\mathcal{V} + \mathcal{M} + \mathcal{G}$.
 - Variance:** usine works better with lax \mathcal{M} . Usage may fail.
 - Example:** induction on « *ill-formed* » \mathcal{M} .